

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/848,902	05/19/2004	Lowell D. Palecek	RA5599(33012/379/101)	6027
27516 UNISYS COR	7590 09/13/2007 PORATION		EXAMINER	
MS 4773 PO BOX 6494	2		HO, ANDY	
ST. PAUL, M	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2194	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		βr				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/848,902	PALECEK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andy Ho	2194				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [In Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioder Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMU. 136(a). In no event, however, mad will expire SIX (6) te, cause the application to become	JNICATION. ay a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 i	Mav 2004.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	ance except for formal r	natters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers	·					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examir	or					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to th		-				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	,					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attac	ched Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received iority documents have b au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper 5) D Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application :				

Art Unit: 2194

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the application filed 5/19/2004.

2. Claims 1-21 have been examined and are pending in the application.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the serial number, filing date and status of the co-pending applications need to be updated (lines 8-14 page 1). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The following terms lack antecedent basis:

- (i) said permitting means line 1 claim 12. Correction is required.
- (ii) the improvement line 2 claim 16. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Art Unit: 2194

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

5. Claims 6 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claims appear to define the metes and bounds of an invention comprised of software alone without claiming associated computer hardware required for execution. Software alone, without a machine, is incapable of transforming any physical subject matter by chemical, electrical, or mechanical acts.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Woodard U.S Patent No. 7,032,011.

As to claim 1, Woodard teaches (lines 13-34 column 7) a method of transferring data from a first application to a second application comprising: determining a format associated with said data; ascertaining a location of said data; packing said format and

Art Unit: 2194

said location into a message having a predefined format; transferring said message from said first application to said second application; unpacking said message to determine said format and said location; and accessing said data by said second application using said format and said location.

As to claim 2, Woodard further teaches said data further comprises a plurality of data objects (lines 51-63 column 6).

As to claim 3, Woodard further teaches said predefined format further comprises Extended Markup Language (lines 13-34 column 7).

As to claim 4, Woodard further teaches transferring via a publically accessible digital data communication network (Fig. 1).

As to claim 5, Woodard further teaches said publically accessible digital data communication network further comprises the Internet (lines 1-15 column 4).

As to claim 6, Woodard teaches (lines 13-34 column 7) an apparatus comprising: a first application program; a second application program responsively coupled to said first application program; a message having a preexisting format generated by said first application program for transfer to said second application program; a data object responsively coupled to said first application program having a location and having a format; and wherein said message contains a definition of said location and said format.

As to claims 7-8, they are apparatus claims of claims 4 and 3, respectively.

Therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claims 4 and 3 above.

Art Unit: 2194

As to claim 9, Woodard further teaches a user terminal containing said first application program (Fig. 1).

As to claim 10, it is an apparatus claim of claim 5. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons as claim 5 above.

As to claim 11, Woodard teaches (Fig. 1, lines 13-34 column 7) an apparatus comprising: first application program means for providing a user interface; second application program means responsively coupled to said first application program means for offering a data processing service; data object means responsively coupled to said first application program means having a location and a format; and message generation means responsively coupled to said first application program means for preparing a message having a preexisting format for transfer of said location and format of said data object means from first application program means to said second application program means.

As to claim 12, Woodard further teaches means for generating a second service request (line 35 column 7 to line 44 column 8).

As to claims 13-15, they are apparatus claims of claims 4-5 and 3, respectively. Therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claims 4-5 and 3 above.

As to claim 16, Woodard teaches (Fig. 1, lines 13-34 column 7) in a data processing system having a first application program responsively coupled to a second application program, comprising: a data object having a location and a format; a message having a preexisting format for transfer from said first application program to

Art Unit: 2194

said second application program; and wherein said message contains said location and format.

As to claims 17-20, they are system claims of claims 4-5, 9 and 3, respectively. Therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claims 4-5, 9 and 3 above.

As to claim 21, it is an apparatus claim of claims 6-9. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons as claims 6-9 above.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andy Ho whose telephone number is (571) 272-3762. A voice mail service is also available for this number. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday, 8:30 am – 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Thomson can be reached on (571) 272-3718.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIM) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or' Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 2194

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2100.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or fax to:

- AFTER-FINAL faxes must be signed and sent to (571) 273 8300.
- OFFICAL faxes must be signed and sent to (571) 273 8300.
- NON OFFICAL faxes should not be signed, please send to (571) 273 3762

A.H September 11, 2007

Andylo